

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,793	12/13/2004		Gregor John McLennan Anderson	PG4881USw	8730
23347	7590	04/06/2006		EXAMINER	
GLAXOSN		- · <del>-</del>	KUMAR, RAKESH		
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, MAI B475 FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398				3654	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/517,793	ANDERSON, GREGOR JOHN MCLENNAN						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Rakesh Kumar	3654						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 De	ecember 2004.							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vn from consideration.							
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,14-17 and 20-25</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) 11-13,18 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
o, are subject to restriction and/or	olosilon roquii oliionii.							
Application Papers								
<ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2004 is/al Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex</li> </ul>	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/2004.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:							

Art Unit: 3654

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(b) because they are incomplete. 37 CFR 1.83(b) reads as follows:

When the invention consists of an improvement on an old machine the drawing must when possible exhibit, in one or more views, the improved portion itself, disconnected from the old structure, and also in another view, so much only of the old structure as will suffice to show the connection of the invention therewith.

2. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

In particular Drawing Figure 4-6 are missing.

Art Unit: 3654

3. Figures 7-7e should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Referring to claims 1-3 and 8. In claim 1 line 15 the applicant discloses the limitation "reversibly receivable." It is unclear as to what is meant by the term "reversibly receivable". It is generally understood and broadly construed by the Examiner to mean a unit that can be reset to restart the counting process.

Art Unit: 3654

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1 and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davies et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,860,419) in view of O'Connor (U.S. Patent Number 6,029,659).
- 9. Referring to claims 1 and 20-25. Davies discloses a medicament dispenser (Figure 16) for use with a medicament carrier (401) having multiple distinct medicament doses (402) carried thereby, said dispenser (Figure 16) having an internal mechanism for dispensing the distinct medicament doses (402) carried by said medicament carrier (401), said mechanism comprising,
  - a) receiving means (see housing holding member 401; Figure 14) for receiving the medicament carrier (401);

Art Unit: 3654

b) release means (members 414 and 470) for releasing a distinct medicament dose (402) from the medicament carrier (401) on receipt thereof by said receiving means (401);

- c) an outlet (419), positioned to be in communication (see Figure 14) with the medicament dose (402) releasable by said release means (members 414 and 470);
- d) indexing means (482; Figure 14) for individually indexing the distinct medicament doses (402) of the medicament carrier (401); and
- e) counting means (489) for counting each time a distinct medicament dose (402) of the medicament carrier (401) is indexed by said indexing means (482),

Davies does not disclose the counting means as a distinct electronic counter unit.

O'Connor discloses a medicament dispenser (Figure 4b) wherein a counting means (40; Figure 5 and 6) disposed on the housing is provided as a distinct electronic counter unit that is reversibly receivable by the medicament dispenser.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Davies and replace the analog counting means with an electronic counting means operated by the action of dispensing the medicaments from the dispenser as taught by O'Connor, because the electronic counting means would by more accurate.

Art Unit: 3654

10. Claims 2-8,14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davies in view of O'Connor as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Lee (U.S.Patent Number 5,990,782).

11. Referring to claims 2,14 and 15. Davies discloses a medicament dispenser (Figure 16) wherein the dispenser has a window opening (494) disposed on the housing through which the numerals disposed on the indicia of member (489) are visible as the dosage are incrementally forwarded.

O'Connor discloses a medicament dispenser (Figure 4b) wherein an electronic counting means (40; Figure 5 and 6) is disposed on the housing to incrementally display the dosage quantity remaining in the dispenser.

Lee discloses a electronic display disposed on the cover of the pill dispenser with multiple programmable features. Furthermore, Lee discloses the electronic counter unit incorporating a push-button actuation features (see buttons Figure 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Davies in view of O'Connor and include an electronic counter positioned on the housing and mechanically connected to the incrementing motion of the indexing means (482; Figure 14) as taught by Lee because placing the electronic counter directly on the housing would increase visibility of the display area.

Art Unit: 3654

12. Referring to claim 3. See claim 2. Davies discloses a medicament dispenser (Figure 16) wherein the housing (410; Figure 16a) is provided with a cover (491) and the electronic counter (see claim 2) is disposed next to the cover (491).

- 13. Referring to claim 4. Davies discloses a medicament dispenser (Figure 16) wherein said cover (491) is moveable relative to the housing (410; see Figures 16a-16d).
- 14. Referring to claims 5-7. Lee discloses the electronic counter unit (Figure 1) comprising a unit housing (see area in member 16 holding members 18 and 30; Figure 3), LCD display screen (18) and a cut-away protective viewing window provided in the unit housing (see two flange projection members above member 18 used to protect the LCD member; Figure 3).
- 15. Referring to claim 8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Davies in view of O'Connor in view of Lee and include a snap-fit mechanism for the electronic counter because it would reduce production assembly costs for the dispenser.
- 16. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davies in view of O'Connor as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Benaroya (U.S.Patent Number 4,572,403).

Art Unit: 3654

17. Referring to claim 9. Benaroya discloses a tablet dispensing apparatus (Figure 9) wherein the electronic counter unit (27) is in switching contact (79; Figure 9) with switching means (30; Figure 4) coupled to the indexing means (75a) such that an indexing action results in switching thereof to register a count at the electronic counter unit.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Davies in view of O'Connor and include a switching means in direct contact with the electronic counter unit as taught by Benaroya such that each consecutive motion the dosage pack can be registered on the electronic counter unit and provide an accurate indexing as the dosage is dispensed.

- 18. <u>Referring to claim 10</u>. Benaroya discloses the switching means (30) comprises a mechanical arm (79) protruding from the medicament dispenser.
- 19. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davies et al. in view of O'Connor as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Cutler (U.S. Patent Number 5,575,392).
- 20. Referring to claims 16 and 17. See claim 1. Cutler discloses a pill dispenser wherein a magnifier (27; Figure 2) positioned over the inscription of the calendar (15) is used to magnify the inscription to aid the user in reading the indicia. Cutler discloses the manipulating means (27) to manipulate (magnify) an analogue count indicia (15).

Art Unit: 3654

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Davies in view of O'Connor and include a manipulating means as taught by Cutler to manipulate the analogue count indicia as disclosed above, thus improving visibility of the display.

# Allowable Subject Matter

21. Claim 11-13, 18 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

- 22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rakesh Kumar whose telephone number is (517) 272-8314. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RK March 26, 2006

KATHY MATECKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Rathy Malecki